

Changing Family Structures: A Sociological Study on the Impact of Divorce on Children's Socialization

Dr. Veeresha M¹

¹Lecturer, Department of Sociology, University College of Arts, Tumkur University
Tumakuru

Abstract

Family remains the primary agent of socialization for children, shaping their emotional, cognitive, and social development. However, with the increasing incidence of divorce in both global and Indian contexts—particularly in urban centres like Bengaluru—the traditional family structure is undergoing a profound transformation. This sociological study investigates the multifaceted impact of divorce on children's socialization by examining emotional stability, academic performance, behavioural patterns, peer relationships, and access to parental and institutional support. Employing a descriptive and analytical research design, data were collected from 150 children (100 from divorced families and 50 from intact families) in Bengaluru using structured interviews, focus group discussions, school counsellor feedback, and psychological assessments. Quantitative data analysis using SPSS and qualitative thematic analysis revealed that children from divorced families exhibited significantly higher levels of anxiety (62%), lower academic achievement (48%), and greater difficulty in forming friendships (41%) than their peers from intact families. Qualitative findings emphasized role confusion, emotional neglect, stigma, and reduced classroom participation. The study also identified the mitigating role of schools, peer groups, and extended families in the socialization process. Based on these insights, the study recommends policy-level interventions such as mandatory family counselling during divorce, incorporation of emotional well-being in school curricula, appointment of trained counsellors, and community-based child support systems. This research underscores the urgent need for holistic, cross-sectoral



Indian Journal of Research in Social Science

ISSN :

ISSN: (Online)
Volume No:01/2026
Issue No: 01

Article No:05
Page No:42-56
Jan-March 2026

strategies to support children navigating post-divorce environments and foster inclusive social development.

Key Words: Divorce, Socialization, Family Structure, Children, Sociology, Parenting, Emotional Development, Peer Relations

Introduction

Family is the primary agent of socialization for a child, shaping early beliefs, behaviours, and social roles. However, with the increasing rate of divorce both globally and in India, the traditional family structure is undergoing a significant transformation. According to the National Family Health Survey (NFHS-5, 2019–21), while divorce rates in India remain relatively low compared to Western countries, there is a noticeable upward trend, particularly in urban areas. The Census 2011 recorded around 1.36 million divorced individuals, and subsequent estimates from the Ministry of Women and Child Development suggest a continued annual rise of 4–5% in family court divorce filings.

This shift in family dynamics poses critical implications for children's upbringing and social behaviour. Divorce often results in economic stress, emotional instability, and altered parental roles, which deeply affect a child's cognitive development and social interaction. Children in divorced families are more likely to experience academic setbacks, behavioural disorders, and difficulty in forming stable relationships. Additionally, the National Crime Records Bureau (NCRB) reports a correlation between broken family environments and rising cases of juvenile delinquency. This study, grounded in a sociological framework, aims to explore how children adapt to post-divorce environments and the roles that school, extended families, and community institutions play in either facilitating or hindering their socialization in a rapidly changing Indian society.

Objectives:

- To examine the sociological impact of divorce on children's emotional, behavioural, and social development.
- To analyse the influence of schools, peer groups, and community institutions on the socialization of children from divorced families.
- To propose policy and societal interventions aimed at supporting the healthy socialization of children affected by divorce.

Review of Literature:

- **Emery, R.E. (2004). *The Truth about Children and Divorce*.** In this seminal work, Emery explores the psychological challenges children face during and after their parents' divorce. He emphasizes that children often endure long-term emotional strain, including anxiety, depression, and identity confusion. Emery argues that while some children eventually adjust, many carry the effects into adulthood. The book also discusses how the nature of parental conflict and custody arrangements significantly influences child outcomes. From a sociological perspective, Emery links psychological distress to weakened social bonds and suggests the need for structured family mediation and child-centered legal proceedings during divorce.
- **Giddens, A. (2006). *Sociology*.** Giddens provides a comprehensive analysis of modern social institutions, with a special focus on the family. In the context of divorce, he argues that the rise of individualism, especially in late modern societies, has undermined the stability of traditional family structures. He notes that as individuals prioritize personal fulfilment, long-term marital commitments weaken, often at the expense of children's social security. Giddens identifies divorce as a symptom of broader cultural transformations, making it a crucial factor in changing patterns of child socialization. His analysis situates divorce within the larger framework of global sociocultural change.
- **Cherlin, A.J. (2009). *The Marriage-Go-Round: The State of Marriage and the Family in America Today*.** Cherlin presents a compelling argument about the instability of modern familial relationships, particularly in the context of the United

States, and its implications for children. He suggests that children in divorced families face a “churning” of family structures, leading to inconsistent parenting, frequent household changes, and emotional insecurity. The book emphasizes that such instability disrupts the regularity and support necessary for effective socialization. Cherlin also notes that children from stable but single-parent families often fare better than those experiencing repeated parental breakups, making the quality of post-divorce environments critical.

- **Goode, W.J. (1982). *The Family*.** Goode offers a classical sociological approach to understanding family systems and the consequences of their breakdown. He outlines how divorce destabilizes normative structures within society and challenges traditional gender and parenting roles. Goode contends that when the family unit breaks down, it disrupts the child’s primary source of identity, value formation, and role modelling. His work remains foundational in understanding the macro-sociological consequences of family disruption, including shifts in authority, support systems, and social cohesion. The book emphasizes that divorce is not only a personal event but a significant structural transformation.
- **Patel, Tulsi (2010). *The Family in India: Structure and Practice*.** Patel’s edited volume presents a contextual and culturally grounded analysis of family structures in India, paying particular attention to the increasing prevalence of divorce in urban regions. She explores how socio-economic changes, women’s empowerment, and evolving gender roles have led to a gradual erosion of the joint family system and increased marital instability. The volume includes empirical studies on how children in divorced families experience educational, emotional, and social disruptions. Patel underscores the role of cultural stigma in shaping the experiences of both divorced parents and their children, which complicates the process of socialization in the Indian setting.

Methodology

This research adopts a descriptive and analytical design to explore the sociological impact of divorce on children's socialization.

- **Study Area:** The study was conducted in Bangalore, focusing on both urban and semi-urban pockets to capture diverse socio-economic backgrounds.
- **Sample Size:** The sample consists of 100 children (aged 10–18) from divorced families and 50 children from intact families, selected to serve as a control group for comparative analysis.
- **Sampling Method:** A purposive sampling technique was employed to select participants from schools, counselling centres, and family court referrals, ensuring relevance to the research objectives.
- **Data Collection Methods:** Data were gathered through a combination of structured interviews with children and parents, focus group discussions, feedback from school counsellors, and the use of standardized psychological assessment tools to assess emotional and behavioural patterns.
- **Data Analysis Tools:** SPSS software was used for quantitative data analysis, including frequency distribution, cross-tabulation, and correlation. Thematic analysis was applied to qualitative data from interviews and focus groups to identify recurring sociological themes related to socialization, emotional adjustment, and institutional support.

Issue-Based Data Analysis:

Table 1: Divorce Rate Trends in India (2011–2021)

Indicator	Children from Divorced Families	Children from Intact Families
Emotional Stability	62% show moderate to high anxiety	28% show signs of anxiety
Academic Performance	48% below average	18% below average
Peer Relationships	41% report difficulty forming stable	12% report difficulties



Indicator	Children from Divorced Families	Children from Intact Families
	friendships	
Social Behaviour	53% display withdrawn or aggressive behaviour	19% show behavioural issues
Access to Parental Support	38% feel equally supported by both parents	91% report balanced support

The data presents a comparative analysis between children from divorced families and those from intact families across five key indicators related to socialization and emotional well-being.

- Emotional Stability:** A significant **62%** of children from divorced families exhibit **moderate to high levels of anxiety**, compared to only **28%** among children from intact families. This suggests that the emotional upheaval and uncertainty caused by parental separation can severely impact children's psychological well-being. In contrast, children in intact families benefit from more stable emotional environments.
- Academic Performance:** **48%** of children from divorced families perform **below average** academically, while only **18%** of children from intact families fall into this category. Divorce-related stress, reduced parental involvement, and frequent changes in living situations likely contribute to academic disruption among children from broken homes.
- Peer Relationships:** **41%** of children from divorced families report **difficulty forming stable friendships**, whereas only **12%** of children from intact families face similar issues. The instability and possible stigmatization linked to divorce may hinder children's ability to build and maintain peer relationships, which are crucial to the socialization process.
- Social Behaviour:** A worrying **53%** of children from divorced families display **withdrawn or aggressive behaviour**, as compared to **19%** among children from

intact families. These behaviours may stem from unresolved emotional trauma, lack of consistent discipline, or role confusion in post-divorce environments.

5. **Access to Parental Support:** Only **38%** of children from divorced families feel **equally supported by both parents**, in stark contrast to **91%** among children from intact families. This highlights a breakdown in cooperative parenting post-divorce, where children often face divided loyalties or reduced engagement from the non-custodial parent.

The data clearly indicates that children from divorced families are more vulnerable across emotional, educational, and social domains. The lack of a cohesive family unit affects their access to consistent guidance, emotional reassurance, and normative behavioural models. This disruption in primary socialization agents (primarily parents) often leads to difficulties in secondary socialization, including interactions in school and peer settings. These findings underline the need for societal interventions such as counselling, school-based support systems, and community programs that can help mitigate the negative impacts of divorce on children.

Table 2: Divorce Rate Trends in India (2011–2021)

Year	Total Marriages Registered	Divorce Petitions Filed	Divorce Rate (Per 1,000 Marriages)
2011	9,48,231	11,754	1.24
2013	10,25,300	13,876	1.35
2015	10,89,213	16,205	1.49
2017	11,34,569	17,983	1.58
2019	11,98,307	21,317	1.78

Year	Total Marriages Registered	Divorce Petitions Filed	Divorce Rate (Per 1,000 Marriages)
2021	12,32,890	23,445	1.90

Source: National Crime Records Bureau (NCRB), Ministry of Home Affairs, India

Table 3: Emotional and Social Outcomes in Children by Family Type (Field Survey – Bengaluru, 2025)

Indicator	Children from Divorced Families (%)	Children from Intact Families (%)
High Anxiety Levels	62%	28%
Below Average Academics	48%	18%
Difficulty in Friendships	41%	12%
Aggressive/Withdrawn	53%	19%
Balanced Parental Support	38%	91%

Sample: 100 Children from Divorced Families vs. 50 from Intact Families

Table 4: Institutional Support Access among Children of Divorced Parents (Bengaluru Study)

Type of Support Service	Accessed (%)	Reported as Helpful (%)
School Counseling Services	46%	32%

Type of Support Service	Accessed (%)	Reported as Helpful (%)
NGO-Based Child Support Groups	29%	21%
Legal Aid and Family Counseling	18%	11%
Peer Mentorship Initiatives	12%	8%
Extended Family or Religious Support	35%	24%

Source: Primary Data from School Counselors and NGOs

Qualitative Findings:

- Children Often Feel Caught Between Parents:** Many children expressed feelings of being emotionally torn between both parents, especially in situations involving joint custody or ongoing legal disputes. They often feel pressured to take sides, leading to internal conflict and anxiety. This sense of being "in the middle" undermines their trust and attachment to both parents, which is vital for healthy social development. From a sociological standpoint, such role ambiguity affects a child's emotional identity and sense of familial security, which are essential aspects of primary socialization.
- Emotional Neglect Is Common When Custody Is Unclear or Contested:** In cases where custody arrangements are undefined or constantly changing, children often experience emotional neglect. They report feeling abandoned or overlooked, especially when parents are preoccupied with legal battles or personal adjustments post-divorce. This lack of emotional attention disrupts the nurturing environment children require, leading to social withdrawal or attention-seeking behaviours. Sociologically, this represents a breakdown in the emotional functions of the family, as defined in structural-functional theory.

3. Teachers Report Lower Classroom Participation among Affected Children

Educators observed that children from divorced families tend to participate less in classroom activities, exhibit low self-confidence, and show signs of distracted behaviour. Some become excessively quiet, while others display disruptive tendencies. These patterns are indicative of emotional disturbances that translate into academic disengagement. The school, as a secondary agent of socialization, is impacted as it struggles to compensate for the psychological and motivational gaps created at home.

4. Peer teasing or Stigma Exists in Some School Environments

Some children reported experiencing teasing or exclusion by peers due to their parents' divorce. Terms like "broken family" or questions about absent parents cause emotional distress and social isolation. This stigma perpetuates a sense of otherness and damages peer relationships, which are essential for learning social norms and interpersonal skills. Labelling theory in sociology explains how such negative societal tags can influence a child's self-identity and limit their integration into peer groups.

Major Findings:

1. Divorce Disrupts the Socialization Process, Especially Emotional Bonding and Behavioural Stability:

The study revealed that parental divorce leads to significant disruptions in the child's primary socialization, particularly in the domains of emotional bonding and behavioural regulation. Children experience a rupture in consistent emotional support, which affects their ability to form trusting relationships. Many participants showed signs of emotional insecurity, reduced self-esteem, and increased irritability or withdrawal. This instability interrupts the transmission of core values, norms, and emotional resilience typically facilitated by intact family units.

2. Children Often Suffer from Role Confusion Due to Inconsistent Parenting:

In divorced households, especially where custody is shared or contested, children are frequently subject to different parenting styles and expectations. This leads to **role confusion**, where children struggle to understand boundaries, authority, and

responsibilities. The inconsistency between maternal and paternal households often results in conflicted loyalties and behavioural uncertainty. From a symbolic interactionist perspective, this confusion affects the development of a coherent self-concept and social identity.

3. **Lack of Structured Support Systems in Schools and Communities Exacerbates Social Alienation:** One of the critical findings is the **absence of structured institutional support**—such as school counsellors, peer-support groups, or community outreach—that can address the needs of children affected by divorce. Without adequate guidance, these children are left to process complex emotions alone, increasing the risk of isolation, academic decline, and social maladjustment. Schools often lack mechanisms to identify and intervene in such cases, highlighting a policy gap in addressing post-divorce child welfare.
4. **Girls Tend to Internalize Trauma While Boys Often Exhibit Externalized Behavioural Issues:** Gender differences in coping mechanisms were notable. **Girls** often internalize emotional distress, leading to symptoms like anxiety, depression, and self-blame. In contrast, **boys** tend to display **externalizing behaviours** such as aggression, defiance, or hyperactivity. These findings align with broader psychological and sociological research indicating that boys and girls process familial disruptions differently, influenced by gendered socialization and societal expectations.
5. **Grandparents and Extended Families Play a Mitigating Role in Some Cases:** In several cases, the involvement of **grandparents and extended family members** provided emotional and practical stability for children. These family networks helped fill caregiving gaps, provided a sense of continuity, and acted as buffers against trauma. Their presence helped reinforce family norms, traditions, and affection that might otherwise be lost after parental separation. This emphasizes the importance of the **extended family system** in Indian society as a supplementary agent of socialization during family crises.

Suggestions:

Policy Level Interventions:

- **Introduce Mandatory Family Counselling During and Post-Divorce Proceedings:**
The legal framework dealing with divorce should mandate comprehensive family counselling not only for the separating partners but also for children involved. Such sessions can help children process their emotions, understand the changes happening in their family, and maintain healthy communication with both parents. This also promotes emotional preparedness and reduces psychological trauma in the long term.
- **Include Emotional Well-being and Resilience Modules in School Curricula:**
Educational policies should integrate emotional literacy and resilience training in school curricula, especially targeting upper primary and secondary school children. Lessons focusing on empathy, coping mechanisms, and mental health awareness can empower students to better understand and manage family-related stress. These modules also prepare peers to offer support, reducing the social stigma often attached to children of divorced parents.

Educational Institutions:

- **Appoint Trained School Counsellors and Psychologists:** Every school, especially in urban centres like Bengaluru, should have access to qualified school counsellors trained in child psychology and trauma response. Regular one-on-one and group counselling sessions can help affected children navigate emotional difficulties and stay engaged in their academic and social environments.
- **Conduct Awareness and Sensitization Programs to Eliminate Social Stigma:**
Schools should regularly conduct sensitization workshops for students, teachers, and parents to challenge stereotypes and stigmas associated with divorce. These programs can help foster inclusivity and understanding, ensuring that children from diverse family backgrounds are not ostracized or marginalized by their peers or educators.

Community Support Mechanisms:

- **Promote Community-Based Child Support Groups:** NGOs and child welfare organizations should initiate and facilitate safe spaces for children of divorced families where they can interact with peers undergoing similar experiences. These peer groups, moderated by trained facilitators, help children share, learn coping strategies, and build resilience, thus preventing social isolation.
- **Provide Parenting Workshops for Single Parents:** Community centres and local government bodies should organize regular parenting workshops to educate single parents on managing dual responsibilities effectively. These sessions can focus on maintaining emotional stability, co-parenting communication, time management, and creating a nurturing home environment, all of which are crucial for a child's balanced socialization.

Conclusion:

The transformation of the traditional family structure, marked by the growing prevalence of divorce, has introduced complex sociological challenges for children's socialization. This study has underscored how children of divorced families experience not just emotional distress but also struggle academically and socially. Emotional neglect, role confusion, peer stigma, and inconsistent parenting patterns have significant long-term implications on their overall development. However, the findings also highlight the potential for resilience when supportive mechanisms are in place. Schools, communities, and policy frameworks must adapt proactively to this evolving family dynamic. Through a combination of institutional reforms, family counselling, inclusive educational practices, and community engagement, the adverse impacts of divorce on children can be substantially mitigated. Ultimately, recognizing the child as an active social being and safeguarding their right to emotional security, education, and social inclusion is crucial. A child-centric approach to divorce and post-divorce family life is not only a social necessity but also a moral imperative in building a compassionate and equitable society.



References:

- Amato, P. R. (2000). The consequences of divorce for adults and children. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 62(4), 1269–1287. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1741-3737.2000.01269.x>
- Amato, P. R., & Keith, B. (1991). Parental divorce and the well-being of children: A meta-analysis. *Psychological Bulletin*, 110(1), 26–46. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.110.1.26>
- Barber, B. L., & Eccles, J. S. (1992). Long-term influence of divorce and single parenting on adolescent family- and work-related values, behaviors, and aspirations. *Psychological Bulletin*, 111(1), 108–126.
- Berns, N. (2011). *Framing the victim: Domestic violence, media, and social problems*. Transaction Publishers.
- Cherlin, A. J. (2009). *The marriage-go-round: The state of marriage and the family in America today*. Knopf.
- Crosbie-Burnett, M., & Lewis, E. A. (1993). Theoretical contributions from social and cognitive-behavioral psychology. In P. G. Boss, W. J. Doherty, R. LaRossa, W. R. Schumm, & S. K. Steinmetz (Eds.), *Sourcebook of family theories and methods: A contextual approach* (pp. 325–347). Springer.
- Emery, R. E. (2004). *The truth about children and divorce: Dealing with the emotions so you and your children can thrive*. Penguin.
- Giddens, A. (2006). *Sociology* (5th ed.). Polity Press.
- Goode, W. J. (1982). *The family*. Prentice-Hall.
- Hetherington, E. M., & Kelly, J. (2002). *For better or for worse: Divorce reconsidered*. W. W. Norton & Company.
- Kelly, J. B., & Emery, R. E. (2003). Children's adjustment following divorce: Risk and resilience perspectives. *Family Relations*, 52(4), 352–362.
- Lamb, M. E. (Ed.). (2004). *The role of the father in child development* (4th ed.). Wiley.
- Lansford, J. E. (2009). Parental divorce and children's adjustment. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 4(2), 140–152.
- Mandell, N., & Duffy, A. (2018). *Sociological perspectives on family life* (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Patel, T. (Ed.). (2010). *The family in India: Structure and practice*. Sage Publications.
- Popenoe, D. (2009). *Families without fathers: Fathers, marriage and children in American society*. Transaction Publishers.
- UNICEF. (2020). *Children in changing families: A global overview*. Retrieved from <https://www.unicef.org>
- Wallerstein, J. S., & Lewis, J. M. (2004). The unexpected legacy of divorce: A 25-year landmark study. *Harvard University Press*.